

## Reducing losses during silage harvest and storage

## Frank Mickan Pasture and Fodder Conservation Specialist DEPI, Ellinbank Dairy Research Centre

Some losses of dry matter and nutritive value during silage making are unavoidable, BUT the avoidable losses are far too high on many farms in Australia. Reducing losses at each step of the ensiling process could reap financial gains for farmers (and contractors) and is possible, practical and not overly expensive, sometimes only requiring attention to detail. And there are some new technological advances to reduce these losses which farmers/contractors are not fully utilising.

Although silage may not be a major portion of the daily ration on many farms, profitability is substantially affected by silage quality and all losses. Table 1 shows the impact of improved quality and reduced losses on the additional value of milk production, in terms of a marginal response, from 350 t DM silage when milk is valued at \$0.40/L and the conversion of energy in silage to milk is 8.0 MJ ME/L milk. Eight MJ ME is a conservative conversion rate to allow for some substitution and some energy being used for walking, condition gain, etc.

Table 1. Impact of improving quality and reducing losses on additional milk value

Impact of improvements in quality or reduced losses on the additional value of milk produced				
Quantity of forage sto	ored per vear	350	Tonne DM	
Existing quality		9.5	MJ ME/kg DM	
Target quality		10.5	MJ ME/kg DM	
Existing lo	sses estimate	25%	of total storage	
Target losses		15%	of total storage	
Value of milk		40	¢/litre milk	
Conversion to milk*		8	MJ/litre from silage	
	Quality Range (MJ ME/kg DM)			
Loss Range (%)	9.5		10.5	
25	\$0		\$11,250	
15	\$14, 250		\$27,000	

If the quality of the 350 t DM silage is improved by 1 MJ ME/kg DM, the increased value of milk production is about \$11,000. If the total harvesting and storage losses are reduced from 25% to 15%, income from milk is increased by over \$14,000. Achieving both will result in a gain of well over \$20,000! How much extra cost and effort is needed to achieve this? Possibly a new tedder paid for in the first year of savings?

Let's look at the loss side of the equation in this article.

There are losses at all stages of the silage making process, some of which are unavoidable, but many are in your control to avoid or at least minimise. Be aware that hay making losses are substantially higher! Although some silage production losses are obvious such as mouldy or rotten silage, many are not such as plants continuing to 'live' (respiration) once cut, during compaction or in storage.

Usually, when a loss of quality is occurring, a loss in DM is also happening. The only time this is not so is when a crop is cut after its best stage of growth for quality, such a pastures going to head. In this scenario the DM yield continues to increase but there is a not-so-obvious loss in quality.

Losses begin soon after the forage is mown, during its treatment before harvesting, during harvesting, during storage and at feeding out. These losses are due to material not being picked up, continued respiration of plants during wilting, harvesting and stack filling and while bales and stacks are unsealed. There are even respiration and aerobic losses immediately after sealing, some unavoidable but compacting the stack and bales tightly and speed of sealing can substantially reduce the avoidable losses. The fermentation process itself will, unavoidably, cause slight losses. Aerobic spoilage losses when the seal is broken at stack opening or during poor feed out management, are due to aerobic bacteria, yeasts and moulds and largely avoidable.

Quoting actual amounts for losses is very difficult as many factors determine the loss at any stage. In very good silage making conditions total field and storage DM losses (excluding feed out losses) should be about 12–18% whilst direct cut maize should be about 10%. However, bad weather, extended wilting, slow harvesting, poor compaction, inadequate sealing, etc. can blow these losses out to over 40% in extreme situations.

Silage making losses can be are segregated into:

- ♦ Harvesting before and during (Table 2)
- ♦ Storage (Table 3)
- Feeding out (Table 4).

Tables 2, 3 and 4 summarise the timing or operation and the reasons for these losses and suggested management strategies to minimise them during Harvest, Storage and Feeding out respectively.

Table 2. Sources of field losses during silage HARVEST

Operation or source of loss	Type of loss	Reason	Management Strategy
Closure date	1. Q <sup>1</sup>	Closed for too long	Cut at canopy closure or just as seed
& length		or closed too late	heads start to appear.
Mowing	2. DM <sup>2</sup>	Cut too high/too low,	Use wide mower. Set mower to grazing
	Q	paddock areas uncut	height.
Wilting	3. DM	Respiration of	Increase rate of wilting with tedder or
	Q	sugars, protein break	mower-conditioner leaving wide swaths
		down by plant	but some loss is unavoidable.
		enzymes	
Tedding	4. DM	Loss of leaf	Avoid tedding above approx. 35 % DM,
	Q		especially legumes. Avoid soil
			contamination.
Raking	5. DM	Some cut material	Set rake tines to pick cut swath off top of
	Q	not raked into	stubble. Avoid soil contamination. Use
		windrow	rotary rakes. Graze paddock after harvest.

	6. DM	Leaf loss during	Avoid over wilting and/or raking when
	Q	raking	crop too dry, especially legumes.
Harvesting of	7. DM	Some crop uncut	Avoid sowing in unharvestable areas.
direct cut			Graze paddocks after harvest to utilise
crops			uncut forage.
	8. DM	Some material not	Train or use experienced operators. Use
	Q*	blown into truck/cart	higher cart sides.
Harvesting	9. DM	Windrow not all	Rake into narrower windrows. Graze
and baling of		picked up,	paddocks after harvest.
wilted crops	10. DM	Some material not	Add more flutes to blower to create more
	Q*	blown into truck/cart	draft. Use higher sides. Avoid or work
		or lost during baling	with the wind. Avoid over dry material.
Transport to	11.DM*	Loss of forage from	Avoid overloading and over dry material.
storage		truck cart during	Cover if carting some distance. Grade
		transportation	tracks smooth. Dump on solid clean base.

Source: Adapted from TopFodder Silage Manual (2003) Table 2.7

Table 3. Sources of losses during silage STORAGE

G 01	- A		
Source of loss	Type of	Reason	Management Solutions
	loss		
Effluent	12. DM	Too wet at ensiling,	Wilt crops and pastures > 30% DM for
	Q	aerobic breakdown in	bulk cut and > 40% DM for bales. Cut
		storage	direct cut crops at later stage of maturity.
			Seal stack perimeter airtight and place
			weights eg. tyres, on the plastic.
Respiration	13. DM	Too much air in	Avoid ensiling material too dry and if so,
	Q	stack or bale allows	chop shorter. Mix dry material with less
		plant enzymes to 'eat	wilted forage in stack or add water.
		up' plant sugars.	Harvest with dew. Fill stack/pit quickly
		Poor compaction.	(within 1–2 days) but roll slowly. Increase
		Forage too dry.	bale or stacks density. Seal airtight ASAP.
Inedible waste	14. DM	Air presence for long	As above and maintain airtight seal during
silage	Q	period will result in	storage. Check regularly and repair holes
		mouldy or rotten	immediately.
		silage due to aerobic	
		bacteria, moulds and	
		yeasts.	
Fermentation	15. DM	Fermentation of plant	Promote lactic acid fermentation by
	Q	sugars. Losses	cutting leafy pastures. Wilt quickly to
		minimal with lactic	correct DM %. Use additives, including
		acid. DM and quality	aerobic inhibitors as appropriate. Compact
		losses higher with	stack or bales tightly. Maintain airtight
		poor fermentation,	seal.
		including secondary	
		fermentation	

Source: Adapted from TopFodder Silage Manual (2003) Table 2.8

Table 4. Sources of losses during FEEDING OUT out of silage

Source of loss	Type of loss	Reason	<b>Management Solutions</b>
Aerobic	16. DM	Silage unstable, heats	Maize, sorghums and whole crop cereal
spoilage	Q	on exposure to air	silages most susceptible. Use aerobic

<sup>\*</sup>Quality not always affected

DM Dry Matter

Q Quality

(heating & mould growth)		due to aerobic micro- organisms. Moulds and yeasts spoil silage. Unpalatable. Reduced intakes.	spoilage inhibitor additives at harvesting.  Maintain airtight seal. Feed out rate maximum of 2 days across silage face or remove >30 cm depth of silage face/day.  Discard spoiled silage.
		Possibility of animal	2 is all a sported straiger
		health issues.	
Waste during	17. DM	Silage fouled by	Feed silage in troughs, along fences,
feed out		trampling and	behind barriers, etc. Don't overfeed.
		manure.	Discard spoiled silage regularly.
		Overfeeding. Mouldy	
		silage included in	
		feed out cart or	
		TMR. Spoiled silage	
		not cleaned out of	
		troughs, etc.	

Source: Adapted from TopFodder Silage Manual (2003) Table 2.9

## To make high quality pasture silage:

- Cut pastures in the vegetative stage and just before canopy closure for very high (10.5 11.5 ME) quality silage
- Avoid pastures with seed heads or dead material at the base of the sward
- Avoid including dust or mud since this will result in poor fermentation
- Wilt and harvest no longer than 24 48 hours after cutting, if possible
- Increase wilting rate by tedding immediately after mowing or using a mower-conditioner leaving swaths as wide as possible
- Compact stacks well roll slowly, spread forage in layers <15 20 cm thickness, Bale slower to increase bale density
- Seal (white side up) with UV treated plastic immediately after harvesting. Place weight on stacks eg. tyres, gravel sausages
- Seal bales airtight ASAP after baling four layers stretch wrap film, 55% stretch, 50% overlap. Applying six layers should ensure 18 months storage. A new five layer film, stretched to 70%, is recommended by the company to apply 4 6 layers. Apply 6 layers if using continuous In-line (tubeline) wrappers and consider 8 layers at the bale joins..
- Regularly monitor silage stacks and bales for holes. Repair immediately with specific silage tape. Ensure plastic is clean, dry, cool and use light tape on light stretchwrap film.